MAY - 5 2025

STIPULATED: ___

Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

6.10.46	NO	ORTHERN DISTRIC	OF CALIFORNIA	
CLERK, U.S. DIST	TRICT COURT	OAKLAND DIV		
NORTHERN DISTRICT	F OF CALIFORNIA States of America,)	Case No. 24 - mj	-71557 MAC
	Plaintiff, v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXUNDER THE SPEEDY TR	
	v. Joseph McBee Defendant(s).	.)		
Trial Act from	s stated by the parties on the 5/5/25 to	ne record on 5/15/25 the public and the	15/25, the court exclude and finds that the ends of justile defendant in a speedy trial. This continuance on the following the second s	See 18 U.S.C. §
	Failure to grant a continua See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7		cely to result in a miscarriage	of justice.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).			
· ,	Failure to grant a continuataking into account the ex	ance would deny ercise of due dil	the defendant reasonable time igence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161	e to obtain counsel, (h)(7)(B)(iv).
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Failure to grant a continua counsel's other scheduled See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)('	case commitme	asonably deny the defendant c nts, taking into account the ex	ontinuity of counsel, given ercise of due diligence.
√	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
<u>√</u>	disposition of criminal ca paragraph and — based o the time limits for a prelin extending the 30-day time	ses, the court set on the parties' sho minary hearing u e period for an ir	ting into account the public in sthe preliminary hearing to the owing of good cause — finds ander Federal Rule of Criminal adictment under the Speedy Trim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(ne date set forth in the first good cause for extending I Procedure 5.1 and for rial Act (based on the
IT IS	SO ORDERED.			1-0
DATE	ED: 5/5/25	_	Jandel V	SALA
		*	KANDIS A. WESTMORI	
		- /	United States Magistrate J	uuge
		\sim	$\sim (1.1)$	

Assistant United States Attorney